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#### Abstract

The enol-ester derivative of acetylacetone, $(Z)$-2-( $p$-nitrobenzoyloxy)-3-phenyl-2-penten-4-one, is orthorhombic, space group Pbca. At $c a-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, a=7 \cdot 213$ (1), $b=32 \cdot 882$ (3) and $c=13.055$ (2) $\AA, Z=8$. Diffraction intensities were measured with monochromated Mo K $\alpha(\lambda=0.71069 \AA$ ) radiation, for a crystal cooled to $c a-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to a resolution of $\sin \theta / \lambda \leq 0.904 \AA^{-1}$. Block-diagonal least-squares refinement of 277 variables and 7235 reflexions resulted in $R=0.082$ and $R_{w}=0 \cdot 100 . \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ is representative of a series of enol-esters for which an intramolecular $O$-acyl migration has been demonstrated to occur in solution. The molecular conformation determined from this analysis displays a short intramolecular non-bonded contact between the two carbonyl groups, $\mathrm{O}(4) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)=2.862 \AA$, which is consistent with the interaction of these two atoms in the proposed rearrangement mechanism. The geometry displayed by the interacting groups in the known examples of short intramolecular contacts between carbonyl groups is highly constrained by the nature of the chemical linkage between the carbonyl groups. Previous examples of such interactions display geometry with the molecular backbone linking the carbonyl groups in an eclipsed conformation; however, the title compound, which has somewhat more conformational freedom, displays a staggered geometry that is well suited to $O$-acyl migration through an intramolecular anti addition intermediate; the dihedral angle $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(11)$ is $176.7^{\circ}$.


## Introduction

$O$-Acyl transfer has been reported to occur in solution for a series of 2-acyloxy-2-alken-4-ones (Mannschreck \& Dvorak, 1973). An intramolecular $O$-acyl shift mechanism, depicted in (I), has been proposed by the above authors based on their interpretation of NMR data.


A single-crystal structure determination of ( $Z$ )-2( $p$-nitrobenzoyloxy)-3-phenyl-2-penten-4-one was undertaken to determine whether the conformation of the molecule was consistent with the proposed mechanism.

## Experimental

The title compound crystallizes in space group Pbca with (at $c a-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $a=7 \cdot 213$ (1), $b=32 \cdot 882$ (3), $c=$ 13.055 (2) $\AA$, obtained by least squares (Stewart, Kruger, Ammon, Dickinson \& Hall, 1972) with the automatically centred $2 \theta$ values for 60 reflexions in the angular range $30 \cdot 0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 41.4^{\circ}$ (Mo $K \alpha, \lambda=0.71069 \AA$ ). The asymmetric unit contains one molecule, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, which gives $D_{x}=1.396 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-3}$ ( $c a$ $-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

A $0.32 \times 0.35 \times 0.38 \mathrm{~mm}$ parallelepiped enclosed in a
thin-walled glass capillary was maintained at $c a$ $-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Syntex LT-1 low-temperature device) for the measurement of intensities (with monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation) on a Syntex $P \overline{1}$ autodiffractometer in an $\omega$ scan mode for which the scan rate was allowed to vary as a function of maximum peak intensity from 2.0 to $24.0^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$; a scan range of $0.75^{\circ}$ was measured and background intensity was measured on each side of the reflexion for one half the total scan time. Three reflexions, which were monitored periodically, remained constant to within $2.8 \%$ of their initial intensities. Of the 9541 unique data ( $\sin \theta / \lambda \leq 0.904 \AA^{-1}$ ), 5949 were classified as observed $[I \geq 1 \cdot 5 \sigma(I)]$. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied; absorption corrections were not deemed necessary.

## Structure determination and refinement

The structure was solved by direct methods.* The H atoms were located from difference maps. The atomic coordinates, anisotropic temperature factors for all C , N and O atoms, isotropic temperature factors for H atoms and a single scale factor were refined by blockdiagonal least squares. The blocks were constructed such that the parameters associated with: [phenyl ring 1], [the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ moiety], [C(1), $\mathrm{O}(1)$ and $\left.\mathrm{O}(2)\right],[\mathrm{C}(2)$ and methyl group 2], [phenyl ring 3], $[\mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{O}(4)$ and methyl group 4], and the scale factor were contained in individual blocks. In addition to the data classified as observed, those for which the calculated intensity was greater than the $1.5 \sigma$ cut-off also contributed to

[^0]the refinement. In this manner, 7235 empirically weighted data, $\sigma^{2}(F)=\sigma_{o}^{2}(F)+0.0125(F)+0 \cdot 0010(F)^{2}$, contributed to the refinement of 277 variables to give $R=0.082$ and $R_{w}=0.100$ and an estimated standard deviation in an observation of unit weight of 1.40 .*

* A list of structure factors and bond distances and angles involving H atoms has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 31763 ( 52 pp., 1 microfiche). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH 1 1NZ, England.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ for carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2489(3)$ | $3704(1)$ | $4097(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $963(3)$ | $4038(1)$ | $5348(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $-1760(4)$ | $4029(1)$ | $3951(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(141)$ | $5928(4)$ | $5583(1)$ | $2588(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(142)$ | $4616(3)$ | $5859(1)$ | $3904(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(14)$ | $4945(3)$ | $5566(1)$ | $3351(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2073(4)$ | $4016(1)$ | $4517(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2750(4)$ | $4428(1)$ | $4211(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3955(4)$ | $4453(1)$ | $3373(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $4655(4)$ | $4830(1)$ | $3073(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $4127(4)$ | $5171(1)$ | $3632(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2905(4)$ | $5157(1)$ | $4458(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $2215(4)$ | $4778(1)$ | $4743(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $130(4)$ | $3681(1)$ | $5707(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 M)$ | $987(5)$ | $3548(1)$ | $6692(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-1286(4)$ | $3507(1)$ | $5199(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $-2165(4)$ | $3131(1)$ | $5620(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $-1136(4)$ | $2778(1)$ | $5784(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $-1974(5)$ | $2433(1)$ | $6208(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $-3835(5)$ | $2439(1)$ | $6479(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $-4877(5)$ | $2787(1)$ | $6299(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $-4054(4)$ | $3128(1)$ | $5866(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-1992(4)$ | $3673(1)$ | $4206(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 M)$ | $-3012(5)$ | $3389(1)$ | $3495(2)$ |

Examination of the data indicated no significant extinction. The atomic coordinates and temperature factors are given in Tables 1-3.

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ and isotropic thermal parameters $\left(\times 10^{2}\right)$ for hydrogen atoms

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(12) | 425 (6) | 417 (1) | 288 (3) | $3 \cdot 5$ (1.0) |
| H(13) | 547 (5) | 484 (1) | 248 (3) | $2 \cdot 6$ (0.9) |
| H(15) | 256 (5) | 542 (1) | 483 (3) | 1.7 (0.7) |
| H(16) | 143 (5) | 475 (1) | 526 (3) | 1.9 (0.8) |
| H(21) | 235 (8) | 337 (2) | 683 (4) | $7 \cdot 6(1 \cdot 5)$ |
| H(22) | 102 (7) | 371 (4) | 721 (4) | 6.0 (1.3) |
| H(23) | 18 (7) | 327 (1) | 720 (4) | $5 \cdot 8(1 \cdot 4)$ |
| H(32) | 17 (5) | 278 (1) | 547 (3) | $2 \cdot 4$ (0.9) |
| H(33) | -123 (7) | 220 (1) | 638 (3) | 4.8 (1.2) |
| H(34) | -438 (6) | 221 (1) | 691 (3) | 4.0 (1.0) |
| H(35) | -625 (7) | 277 (1) | 664 (4) | $4 \cdot 6$ (1.1) |
| H(36) | -476 (6) | 333 (1) | 562 (3) | $3 \cdot 9$ (1.2) |
| H(4) | -443 (9) | 334 (2) | 358 (5) | 8.2 (1.9) |
| H(42) | -276 (6) | 340 (1) | 267 (4) | 4.7 (1-4) |
| H(43) | -263 (8) | 304 (2) | 356 (4) | $7 \cdot 9(1 \cdot 8)$ |

## Discussion

A stereoscopic projection of the molecule (Johnson, 1965) and the labelling scheme are presented in Fig. 1. The observed conformation is interesting in terms of the mechanism proposed to describe the $O$-acyl migration (Mannschreck \& Dvorak, 1973) [depicted above, (I)], and similarly the interaction proposed to explain the unusual stability of the cis isomer of the enol acetates of acetylacetone (Awang, 1973). The observed conformation is clearly consistent with an interaction between $\mathrm{O}(4)$ of the carbonyl group and $\mathrm{C}(1)$ of the ester moiety. The $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ distance, 2.862 (7) $\AA$, compares fa-

Table 2. Anisotropic temperature factors ( $\times 10^{2}$ ) for carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms

|  | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O(1) | 3.75 (12) | 1.86 (7) | 3.43 (10) | -0.01 (7) | $1 \cdot 26$ (9) | -0.36 (7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2 \cdot 94$ (10) | 1.99 (7) | $2 \cdot 20$ (8) | -0.43 (7) | $0 \cdot 64$ (7) | -0.20 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 5.44 (15) | 2.41 (9) | 3.51 (11) | -0.71 (9) | -1.00 (11) | $0 \cdot 88$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(141)$ | 4.58 (14) | $2 \cdot 74$ (9) | $5 \cdot 05$ (14) | -0.12 (10) | 2.52 (12) | 0.48 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(142)$ | $4 \cdot 05$ (12) | $1 \cdot 90$ (8) | $3 \cdot 42$ (10) | -0.12 (8) | -0.11 (9) | -0.09 (7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(14)$ | 2.34 (10) | $1 \cdot 94$ (8) | $3 \cdot 23$ (11) | 0.06 (7) | $0 \cdot 18$ (9) | $0 \cdot 37$ (8) |
| C(1) | $2 \cdot 25$ (11) | $1 \cdot 96$ (9) | $2 \cdot 11$ (10) | -0.03 (8) | $0 \cdot 23$ (8) | 0.03 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.90 (10) | $1 \cdot 75$ (8) | $2 \cdot 11$ (10) | 0.06 (7) | $0 \cdot 00$ (8) | -0.02 (7) |
| C(12) | $2 \cdot 45$ (11) | 1.94 (9) | $2 \cdot 31$ (10) | -0.02 (8) | $0 \cdot 42$ (9) | -0.13 (8) |
| C(13) | 2.46 (11) | 2.09 (10) | $2 \cdot 38$ (11) | -0.01 (8) | 0.53 (9) | 0.07 (8) |
| C(14) | 1.88 (10) | $1 \cdot 79$ (8) | 2.51 (11) | 0.03 (8) | -0.07 (8) | 0.21 (8) |
| C(15) | 2.01 (10) | 1.88 (9) | 2.38 (10) | 0.24 (8) | 0.02 (8) | -0.06 (8) |
| C(16) | 1.93 (10) | 1.88 (9) | $2 \cdot 16$ (10) | $0 \cdot 18$ (7) | $0 \cdot 19$ (8) | 0.01 (7) |
| C(2) | 2.47 (11) | 1.95 (9) | 1.98 (10) | -0.16 (8) | $0 \cdot 40$ (9) | -0.07 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 M)$ | $3 \cdot 30$ (14) | 2.68 (11) | $2 \cdot 21$ (11) | -0.30 (10) | -0.30 (10) | -0.05 (9) |
| C(3) | 2.53 (11) | 1.83 (9) | 1.96 (10) | -0.15 (8) | $0 \cdot 22$ (8) | 0.09 (7) |
| C(31) | 2.55 (11) | 1.78 (8) | 1.91 (10) | -0.10 (8) | $0 \cdot 17$ (8) | -0.05 (7) |
| C(32) | 2.87 (13) | $2 \cdot 11$ (10) | $2 \cdot 80$ (12) | $0 \cdot 22$ (9) | 0.01 (10) | 0.06 (9) |
| C(33) | $3 \cdot 53$ (15) | 1.97 (10) | $3 \cdot 43$ (14) | $0 \cdot 21$ (10) | -0.35 (12) | $0 \cdot 43$ (9) |
| C(34) | $3 \cdot 89$ (16) | $2 \cdot 10$ (10) | $2 \cdot 62$ (12) | -0.49 (10) | -0.08 (11) | $0 \cdot 34$ (9) |
| C(35) | $3 \cdot 10$ (14) | $2 \cdot 20$ (10) | $2 \cdot 98$ (13) | -0.34 (10) | 0.56 (11) | $0 \cdot 13$ (9) |
| C(36) | $2 \cdot 69$ (12) | $1 \cdot 76$ (9) | $2 \cdot 87$ (12) | $0 \cdot 04$ (8) | 0.55 (10) | 0.03 (8) |
| C(4) | 2.63 (12) | $2 \cdot 38$ (10) | $2 \cdot 34$ (11) | -0.10 (9) | $0 \cdot 10$ (10) | 0.26 (8) |
| C(4M) | $3 \cdot 22$ (14) | $2 \cdot 84$ (12) | 2.49 (12) | -0.33 (10) | -0.41 (11) | 0.03 (9) |

vourably with those reported for phenylazotribenzoylethylene, PATBM, (Pendergrass, Curtin \& Paul, 1972), a compound known to undergo solid-state rearrangement, and with that reported for cis-1,2-dibenzoylethylene, DBE, and its di-p-chlorobenzene derivative (Rabinovich, Schmidt \& Shaked, 1970); the former has been reported to undergo a photochemical intramolecular rearrangement (Zimmerman, Dürr, Givens \& Lewis, 1967).

The molecular geometry giving rise to the close $\mathrm{O}(A) \cdots \mathrm{C}(B)$ intramolecular non-bonded contact distances between carbonyl groups $A$ and $B$ differs significantly in this structure from that reported for PATBM and DBE. The differences reflect the constraints imposed by the chemical structure of the backbone linking the carbonyl groups. The number of bonded atoms linking $\mathrm{O}(A)$ to $\mathrm{C}(B)$ in PATBM is four, in DBE five and in this structure six [including $\mathrm{O}(A)$ and $C(B)]$. In the previously reported examples, the carbonyl group $A$ and the atoms linking it to carbonyl group $B$, including $C(B)$, are essentially coplanar and furthermore the plane they define is very nearly perpendicular to the usual four-atom plane associated with carbonyl group $B$. Thus the dihedral angle $\mathrm{C}(A) \mathrm{O}(A) \mathrm{C}(B) \mathrm{C}(L)$ is very nearly zero $[\mathrm{C}(L)$ is the atom bonded to atom $C(B)$ in the backbone between the carbonyl groups $A$ and $B$ ]. Clearly the six-atom linkage presented in this investigation cannot adopt the planar conformation described above. As can be seen from Fig. 1, the alternative conformation is interesting in that instead of the eclipsed bonds $\mathrm{C}(A)-\mathrm{O}(A)$ with $C(L)-C(B)$, the conformation is staggered: the
$\mathrm{C}(A) \mathrm{O}(A) \mathrm{C}(B) \mathrm{O}(L)$ dihedral angle is $75.7^{\circ}$. The staggered geometry gives rise to a second dihedral angle, $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(11)$ of $176 \cdot 7^{\circ}$, characteristic of the geometry suitable for anti addition along the line joining $\mathrm{O}(4)$ and $\mathrm{C}(1)$.

The short non-bonded intramolecular contact between the two carbonyl groups has resulted in significant nonplanarity in the 2-penten-4-one moiety; the dihedral angle $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(4 M)$ is $156.7^{\circ}$. The planarity of the double bond is undisturbed [dihedral angle $\mathrm{C}(2 M) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4), 179 \cdot 5^{\circ}$. The conformation is further characterized by the dihedral angles presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Selected dihedral angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{C}(2)$ | $176 \cdot 9$ | $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{O}(2)$ | $19 \cdot 1$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-73 \cdot 4$ | $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(4)$ | $80 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{O}(4)$ | $-22 \cdot 8$ | $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{O}(4) \mathbf{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(2)$ | $75 \cdot 7$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{C}(32)$ | $-59 \cdot 3$ | $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(11)$ | $176 \cdot 7$ |




Fig. 1. A stereoscopic projection of ( $Z$ )-2-( $p$-nitrobenzoyloxy)-3-phenyl-2-penten-4-one. The thermal ellipsoids for the carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms are depicted for the $75 \%$ probability level. All hydrogen atoms are depicted with uniform isotropic temperature factors ( $B=0.75$ ).

Table 5. Selected distances between carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms
(a) Bond distances

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1 \cdot 202$ (3) $\AA$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1 \cdot 400$ (4) $\AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 349$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.399 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.494 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.394 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.401 (3) | C(13)-C(14) | 1.391 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1 \cdot 346$ (4) | C(14)-C(15) | 1.394 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2 M)$ | $1 \cdot 492$ (4) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.393 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.496 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.396 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1 \cdot 493$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 1.399 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1 \cdot 226$ (3) | C(32)-C(33) | 1.399 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4 M)$ | $1 \cdot 510$ (4) | C(33)-C(34) | 1.389 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{N}(14)$ | $1 \cdot 475$ (3) | C(34)-C(35) | 1.388 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(14)-\mathrm{O}(141)$ | $1 \cdot 225$ (4) | C(35)-C(36) | $1 \cdot 389$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(14)-\mathrm{O}(142)$ | $1 \cdot 226$ (3) |  |  |
| (b) Non-bonded contacts |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2.862 (7) $\AA$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2 \cdot 680$ (6) $\AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $3 \cdot 237$ (8) |  |  |

Table 6. Selected interatomic angles

| (a) Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(1)$ | $124 \cdot 7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(2)$ | $111 \cdot 1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(2)$ | $124.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{C}(2)$ | $118 \cdot 5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3)$ | $121 \cdot 1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(2 M)$ | $110 \cdot 8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(2 M)$ | $128.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4)$ | $122 \cdot 0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(31)$ | $119.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(31)$ | $118 \cdot 5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{O}(4)$ | $122 \cdot 5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(4 M)$ | $118 \cdot 1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(4 M)$ | $119 \cdot 3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{N}(14) \mathrm{O}(141)$ | $118 \cdot 2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{N}(14) \mathrm{O}(142)$ | $118 \cdot 0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(141) \mathrm{N}(14) \mathrm{O}(142)$ | $123 \cdot 8(2)$ |

(b) Non-bonded angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(4)$ | $68 \cdot 5$ (2) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{C}(4)$ | 92.7 (2) |

The bond distances in the 2-penten-4-one moiety are precisely those values considered to be representative of a normal $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond and a normal carbonyl group (Kennard, Watson, Allen, Isaacs, Motherwell, Petterson \& Town, 1972). The $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)-$ $C(4 M)$ distances are equally consistent with expectation. The $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2 M)$ distance, which is rather short for a methyl group attached to a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond, most likely reflects the effects of the electronegativity of the O atom in the ester linkage. The bond distances reported for PATBM and DBE are also generally normal. Thus, while the three systems display conformations suitable for an intramolecular rearrange-
ment, there is no evidence in the observed bonding parameters to indicate a disturbance in the electronic structure of the various compounds.

## Table 7. Intermolecular contacts

(a) Between trigonal atoms to $3.5 \AA$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(141)$ | $3 \cdot 114 \AA$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $3.416 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}(14)-\mathrm{O}(141)$ | 3.146 | $\mathrm{O}(141)-\mathrm{O}(142)$ | 3.419 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(142)$ | 3.182 | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 3.458 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(142)$ | 3.249 | $\mathrm{O}(141)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 3.459 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(141)$ | 3.336 | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 3.463 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(142)$ | 3.353 | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(142)$ | 3.469 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(142)$ | 3.369 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 3.474 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 3.380 | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 3.487 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(142)$ | 3.390 | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 3.493 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 3.390 | $\mathrm{O}(142)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 3.496 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(14)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 3.416 |  |  |

(b) Between trigonal and tetrahedral atoms to $3.75 \AA$

| $\mathrm{O}(141)-\mathrm{C}(2 M)$ | 3.382 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4 M)$ | 3.557 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(2 M)$ | 3.475 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2 M)$ | 3.594 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4 M)$ | 3.496 |  |  |

Those interatomic distances between molecular units that are less than $3.75 \AA$ are presented in Table 7 . It is apparent that the crystal packing is governed by van der Waals forces.

The author thanks Professor A. Mannschreck for bringing this problem to his attention and for the crystalline sample. Special thanks are due to the Institut für Organische Chemie, Biochemie und Isotopenforschung der Universität Stuttgart for making this investigation possible.
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[^0]:    * Unless otherwise indicated the X-RAY 1972 program library (Stewart et al., 1972) was used for all calculations.

